HIS 397: Seminar in Comparative History

Professor Jonathan Skaff

Book Review 2

Due: Monday, April 4

- Hard copy due in class with the **grading sheet on the reverse attached**.
- Electronic Copy submitted to the D2L Dropbox

Length: 800 words (approximately 3 double-spaced pages in 12 point font)

Topic:

Write a book review of *The Year 1000* in a format suitable for publication in a scholarly journal, such as the *American Historical Review*. This type of book review places more weight on the scholarly merits of a publication than its entertainment value. The intended audience of the review is professional historians and other scholars. A good review should be concise and illuminating. The primary function of a good academic book review is to evaluate how effectively the author defends his/her thesis.

The title of your paper should be the book's bibliographic citation in Chicago A (Turabian Bibliography) format. Your review should describe the author's research methodology (think about the types of sources), summarize the author's thesis and major arguments, and critique (positively or negatively) the author's methodology, thesis, arguments or other aspects of the book. Your critique should consider whether *The Year 1000* succeeds as a comparative and/or global history.

Evaluation of Papers:

80% of the grade will be based on content. Content grades of A will be awarded to papers that effectively summarize and critique of the book's methodology, thesis and major arguments.

The other 20% will be based upon grammar and word usage (4%), spelling, capitalization and punctuation (4%), organization (4%), readability (4%), and bibliographic citation of the book at the top of the first page in Chicago A format (4%).

Grading sheet (attach to paper)

	ent (80%) Summary of methodology, thesis and arguments:	_Excellent	_Good	_Fair	_Poor
(Critique of methodology, thesis and arguments:	_Excellent	_Good	_Fair	_Poor
1	A=72-80, B=64-71, C=56-63, D=48-55, F=47	or lower		_	
II. Writing mechanics (20%) A=4, B=3.5, C=3, D=2.5, F=2 or lower					
(Grammar and word usage (4%)			_	
S	Spelling, capitalization, and punctuation (4%)			_	
(Organization (4%)			_	
1	Readability (4%)			_	
]	Bibliographic citation (Chicago A) (4%)			_	
Total				_	
Correction Symbols Used in Grading Paper					
agr	wrong agreement (noun-verb or noun-noun)				
awk	awkward sentence				
cit	citation needed				
frag	sentence fragment (usually a sentence that lac	cks a verb)			
org	organization problems	.4 •	.1		
redun	redundant (using different words to say the sa	ame thing m	ore than	once)	
rep	repetitious use of the same word				
run-on	run-on sentence				
sp	wrong spelling	1)			
trans	poor transition (between sentences or paragra	ipns)			
tn	wrong verb tense				
unc	unclear phrase, sentence, or paragraph				
wf	wrong grammatical form of word				
ww ¶	wrong word usage new paragraph needed				
11	new paragraph heeded				